

INSIDE THE ULTIMA ONLINE GOLD DEMO

- UODEMO.DAT versus DIRECT FILE ACCESS

GOAL

It's our goal to get a deep understanding of how the Ultima Online Gold Demo works. This demo is a representation of the rule set from the Ultima Online Second Age Era.

There is proof that some people have already reversed this demo partially or as a whole, however so far no tools or knowledge has been published. This project is to overcome those shortcomings.

URL's with some proof for this:

<http://www.runuo.com/forums/general-discussion/94767-help-m-files.html>

<http://azaroth.org/2008/12/31/your-topic/> (posting by Faust)

If we understand the demo there is a big chance we can alter the demo and even create our own demo. By default mounting horses is not possible in the demo, but what if we can alter the demo and unlock horses; can we then see how horses behaved during T2A?

This demo is 10 years old and I do not understand no one published his/her work. Maybe that DMCA thing is in the way?

UTILITIES USED

[IDA Pro](#), a very professional utility, definitely worth buying, Standard version is affordable.

[HxD](#), a very neat hex editor and above all, it's free

ABOUT ME

I'm just a guy who loves the Ultima universe and knows a bit assembler. Why not combine the two? ☺ I've been into computer starting from age twelve, and Ultima VII was the first game I bought myself. The "coolest" thing I ever did may well be the patch described in this document, but the "coolest" thing I did 15 years ago happened in school. I was reading about viruses because I wondered how they worked. Back then I discovered how to write programs with DEBUG.COM (MSDOS application). So instead of attending the lessons and doing boring exercises I was fooling around in assembler. I once patched COMMAND.COM so it would display a welcome message every time the computer started, much like a virus would patch COMMAND.COM :=). The patch didn't last long, I was very afraid to get caught so I removed it at the end of the 2 hour lesson. But it worked my dear reader ☺.

STRUCTURES

I have already uncovered how UODEMO.DAT is encrypted and in the mean-time I delved deeper in the code and documented more functions and structures involved. I'm going to share you my work.

I start by showing you the (internal) structures I partially analyzed:

```
00000000 struct_DAT_HeaderEntry struc ; (sizeof=0x118)
00000000 FileName          db 260 dup(?)          ; string(C)
00000104 PointerToFileData dd ?
00000108 ReservedSize?   dd ?
0000010C IsReadOnly      dd ?
00000110 StoredSize       dd ?
00000114 CurrentPosition dd ?
00000118 struct_DAT_HeaderEntry ends
00000118
00000000 ; -----
00000000
00000000 struct_ContainerHandle struc ; (sizeof=0x2C)
00000000 ContainerID          dd ?
00000004 MemoryBlock      dd ?
00000008 field_8         dd ?
0000000C field_C         dd ?
00000010 CurrentPosition dd ?
00000014 field_14        dd ?
00000018 OriginalLength dd ?
0000001C ActualLength   dd ?
00000020 field_20         dd ?
00000024 field_24         dd ?
00000028 AccessThroughContainerCode dd ?
0000002C struct_ContainerHandle ends
0000002C
00000000 ; -----
00000000
00000000 struct_UODEMODAT struc ; (sizeof=0xCD274)
00000000 field_0              dd 70 dup(?)
00000118 FileHandleToUODEMODAT dd ?
0000011C embedded          struct_UODEMODATembedded ?
0000012C HeaderList       struct_DAT_HeaderEntry 3000 dup(?)
000CD26C HeaderCount     dd ?
000CD270 PointerToBeginOfDataArea dd ?
000CD274 struct_UODEMODAT ends
000CD274
00000000 ; -----
00000000
00000000 struct_UODEMODATembedded struc ; (sizeof=0x10)
00000000 field_0              dd ?
00000004 field_4         dd ?
00000008 field_8         dd ?
0000000C field_C         dd ?
00000010 struct_UODEMODATembedded ends
```

Even though I called them “struct”, they are more likely to be classes but know that a C++ class is nothing more than an advanced structure under the hood.

“struct_UODEMODAT” is an internal structure and most importantly it contains an array of 3000 header structures. This means that the UO Demo supports only up to 3000 files stored in UODEMO.DAT.

“struct_DAT_HeaderEntry” is exposed to the real world in its encrypted form. To learn how to decrypt the structures you will have to read my first document about UODEMO.DAT. Note that the filename is an array of 260 characters (bytes). 260 is a magic value in the Windows world as it’s defined as MAXPATH. Very bad btw because NTFS supports longer filenames but due to this limitation very few software can work with longer filenames, even the crappy Windows Explorer can’t handle those!

“struct_ContainerHandle” is another internal structure; it is used when reading data from UODEMO.DAT. As you can see, I didn’t document all fields yet. The files are handled in blocks of 64KB and some of those fields seem to indicate which block is currently in memory. Please note that UODEMO.EXE also supports writing to UODEMO.DAT.

“struct_UODEMODATembedded” is still a complete mystery. It looks like an embedded C++ object but I couldn’t derive its function yet.

FOPEN

The UODEMO.DAT contains a function at address 0x004E5CFA that is called every time the demo wants to open a file. The function will return a pointer to a struct_DAT_HeaderEntry variable.

Screenshot of the start of this function which I named FUNC_fopen_ServerSide:

```
004E5CFA ; ===== S U B R O U T I N E =====
004E5CFA
004E5CFA
004E5CFA FUNC_fopen_ServerSide proc near          ; CODE XREF: sub_40107D+2D↑p
004E5CFA                                     ; sub_43361F+145↑p ...
004E5CFA
004E5CFA VAR_ModifiedAccessMode= byte ptr -41Ch
004E5CFA VAR_AllocatedMemoryForContainerHandle= dword ptr -410h
004E5CFA VAR_FilenameWithQ= byte ptr -40Ch
004E5CFA var_C = dword ptr -0Ch
004E5CFA var_4 = dword ptr -4
004E5CFA ARG_Filename = dword ptr 4
004E5CFA ARG_OpenMode = dword ptr 8
004E5CFA
004E5CFA mov     eax, large fs:0
004E5D00 push   0FFFFFFFh
004E5D02 push   offset unknown_libname_691 ; Microsoft VisualC 2-8/net runtime
004E5D07 push   eax
004E5D08 mov     large fs:0, esp
004E5D0F sub     esp, 410h
004E5D15 or      ecx, 0FFFFFFFh
004E5D18 xor     eax, eax
004E5D1A push   ebx
004E5D1B push   ebp
004E5D1C push   esi
004E5D1D push   edi
004E5D1E mov     edi, [esp+42Ch+ARG_Filename]
004E5D25 lea    edx, [esp+42Ch+VAR_FilenameWithQ]
004E5D29 repne scasb
004E5D2B not     ecx
004E5D2D sub     edi, ecx
004E5D2F mov     eax, ecx
004E5D31 mov     esi, edi
004E5D33 mov     edi, edx
004E5D35 shr     ecx, 2
004E5D38 rep mousd
004E5D3A mov     ecx, eax
004E5D3C and     ecx, 3
004E5D3F rep mousb
004E5D41 lea    ecx, [esp+42Ch+VAR_FilenameWithQ]
004E5D45 push   ecx          ; String
004E5D46 call   __strlwr
```

The function also does something interesting, the ARG_Filename is a function argument and is converted to a lower-case string and “.q” is added, this result is stored in VAR_FilenameWithQ. After that the ARG_OpenMode is also modified a bit, but nothing important.

Screenshot of the ending of FUNC_fopen_ServerSide:

```

004E5DBD 004E5DBD loc_4E5DBD: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+AD↑j
004E5DBD lea  eax, [esp+42Ch+UAR_ModifiedAccessMode]
004E5DC1 lea  ecx, [esp+42Ch+UAR_FileNameWithQ]
004E5DC5 push eax ; Mode
004E5DC6 push ecx ; Filename
004E5DC7 mov  ecx, GLOBAL_Class_UODEMODAT
004E5DCD xor  ebx, ebx
004E5DCF call FUNC_fopen_Container
004E5DD4 mov  esi, eax
004E5DD6 test esi, esi
004E5DD8 jz   short LOCAL_ReturnZero
004E5DDA test esi, esi
004E5DDC mov  ebx, 1
004E5DE1 jnz  short LOCAL_RegisterAsThroughContainer
004E5DE3 LOCAL_ReturnZero: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+DE↑j
004E5DE3 xor  eax, eax
004E5DE5 jmp  short LOCAL_Return
004E5DE7 ; -----
004E5DE7 LOCAL_RegisterAsThroughContainer: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+E7↑j
004E5DE7 lea  edx, [esp+42Ch+UAR_FileNameWithQ]
004E5DEB push offset a_q ; ".q"
004E5DF0 push edx ; Str
004E5DF1 call _strstr
004E5DF6 add  esp, 8
004E5DF9 test  eax, eax
004E5DFB jz   short LOCAL_ReturnHandleWithoutInitContainerHandle
004E5DFD mov  cl, [eax+2]
004E5E00 test  cl, cl
004E5E02 jnz  short LOCAL_ReturnHandleWithoutInitContainerHandle
004E5E04 push  28h ; '+' ; Val
004E5E06 push  ebp ; Str
004E5E07 call _strchr
004E5E0C add  esp, 8
004E5E0F test  eax, eax
004E5E11 jnz  short LOCAL_RegisterAsReadOnly
004E5E13 push  77h ; 'w' ; Val
004E5E15 push  ebp ; Str
004E5E16 call _strchr
004E5E1B add  esp, 8
004E5E1E test  eax, eax
004E5E20 jnz  short LOCAL_RegisterAsReadOnly
004E5E22 LOCAL_RegisterAsWriteable:
004E5E22 xor  edi, edi
004E5E24 jmp  short loc_4E5E2B
004E5E26 ; -----
004E5E26 LOCAL_RegisterAsReadOnly: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+117↑j
004E5E26 ; FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+126↑j
004E5E26 mov  edi, 1
004E5E2B loc_4E5E2B: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+12A↑j
004E5E2B push  2Ch ; ','
004E5E2D call ??2@YAPAXI@Z ; operator new(uint)
004E5E32 add  esp, 4
004E5E35 mov  [esp+42Ch+var_410], eax
004E5E39 test  eax, eax
004E5E3B mov  [esp+42Ch+var_4], 0
004E5E46 iz   short LOCAL_ReturnHandleWithoutInitContainerHandle
004E5E48 push  ebx
004E5E49 push  edi
004E5E4A push  esi
004E5E4B mov  ecx, eax
004E5E4D call FUNC_Init_ContainerHandle
004E5E52 LOCAL_ReturnHandleWithoutInitContainerHandle:
004E5E52 ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+101↑j
004E5E52 ; FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+108↑j ...
004E5E52 mov  eax, esi
004E5E54 LOCAL_Return: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+EB↑j
004E5E54 mov  ecx, [esp+42Ch+var_C]
004E5E5B pop  edi
004E5E5C pop  esi
004E5E5D pop  ebp
004E5E5E mov  large fs:0, ecx
004E5E65 pop  ebx
004E5E66 add  esp, 41Ch
004E5E6C retn
004E5E6C FUNC_fopen_ServerSide endp

```

The image on the previous page required a devoted page because it's a very important screenshot.

Do you know assembler? Then look at the red square and you will completely understand why I put a question mark there. You don't know assembler? Then read on.

Now, what's going on is: `FUNC_fopen_Container` is called, this name is a bit misleading because `UODEMO.DAT` is already open but that function will return the address of a `struct_DAT_HeaderEntry` if the file is found in `UODEMO.DAT`, otherwise `NULL` is returned.

The return value, the address, is stored in the `EAX` register which is then copied to the `ESI` register. Now if `ESI` is 0 then a jump is made to `LOCAL_ReturnZero` which will return to the caller and the demo will fail because the file couldn't be opened. Then 1 is put into `EBX`, notice that `EBX` was zeroed before the call (orange squares). Then a zero test is made again on the `ESI` register but this time a jump is made to `LOCAL_RegisterAsThroughContainer` if `ESI` isn't zero.

But we already know that `ESI` isn't zero because of the first test!

That made me think there was code sitting around that has been removed from the final build, this idea has been in the back of my head since the first time I started working on the `UODEMO` and noticed that `ESI` test.

Now, we also know that `EBX` will always be 1! All files that are needed by `UODEMO` are stored in `UODEMO.DAT` so why is `EBX` zeroed out first? That's really an indicator that there was extra code that we don't see anymore.

Another interesting fact, look at the `_strstr` call at address `0x004E5DF1`. So if the `VAR_FilenameWithQ` does not contain ".q" then the `ESI` parameter is returned without executing the rest of the code. But we know that ".q" is added always! So why is there an extra check at the end? What in God's name or Allah's name, is that code doing there. It's really not necessary. But it is necessary if code exists that would access files without `UODEMO.DAT`!

Also, let's take a look at the `EDI` register, it will be 1 if the modified access mode does not contain "w" or a "+". This is C stuff and basically if `EDI` is zero then the file is writeable; if `EDI` is 1 then the file is read-only.

After that, memory for a `struct_ContainerHandle` is allocated and this structure/object is initialized. 3 important parameters, `EBX`, `EDI` and `ESI`! `EBX` = 1 (because we read from `UODEMO.DAT`), `EDI` is a read-only/writeable indicator and `ESI` is the address of the header entry.

FCLOSE

This is the function that will close files opened by FUNC_fopen_ServerSide:

```
004E5F5A ; ----- S U B R O U T I N E -----
004E5F5A
004E5F5A FUNC_fclose_ServerSide proc near          ; CODE XREF: sub_40107D+139↑p
004E5F5A                                     ; sub_4034E0+17↑p ...
004E5F5A FileHandleOrFilename= dword ptr 4
004E5F5A
004E5F5A     push    esi
004E5F5B     push    edi
004E5F5C     mov     edi, [esp+0+FileHandleOrFilename]
004E5F60     push    edi
004E5F61     call   sub_4E557A
004E5F66     mov     esi, eax
004E5F68     add     esp, 4
004E5F6B     test   esi, esi
004E5F6D     jnz    short loc_4E5F7B
004E5F6F     push    edi                ; File
004E5F70     call   _fclose
004E5F75     add     esp, 4
004E5F78     pop     edi
004E5F79     pop     esi
004E5F7A     retn
004E5F7B ; -----
004E5F7B loc_4E5F7B:                               ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fclose_ServerSide+13↑j
004E5F7B     mov     ecx, esi
004E5F7D     call   FUNC_fclose_Container_WithFlush
004E5F82     mov     ecx, esi
004E5F84     mov     edi, eax
004E5F86     call   sub_4E50FA
004E5F8B     push    esi                ; Memory
004E5F8C     call   ???@YAXPAX@Z        ; operator delete(void *)
004E5F91     add     esp, 4
004E5F94     mov     eax, edi
004E5F96     pop     edi
004E5F97     pop     esi
004E5F98     retn
004E5F98 FUNC_fclose_ServerSide endp
```

This is very interesting and again, did no one notice this before? What is `_fclose` doing there? Files are never opened directly from disk but always from `UODEMO.DAT`, so why is a `_fclose` needed?

Know that `FUNC_fclose_ServerSide` takes a `struct_DAT_HeaderEntry` as parameter.

Know that `_fclose` takes a `FILE` as parameter (C documentation).

Know that `FUNC_fclose_Container_WithFlush` takes a `struct_ContainerHandle` as parameter.

FGETS

This is the function that gets called when a text file is being handled, for example server.txt:

```
004E5F9A ; ===== SUBROUTINE =====
004E5F9A
004E5F9A
004E5F9A FUNC_fgets_ServerSide proc near          ; CODE XREF: sub_40107D+78fp
004E5F9A                                     ; COMMAND_initArrayFromFile+9Afp ...
004E5F9A
004E5F9A Buf          = dword ptr 4
004E5F9A MaxCount    = dword ptr 8
004E5F9A FileHandleOrFilename= dword ptr 0Ch
004E5F9A
004E5F9A          push esi
004E5F9B          mov esi, [esp+4+FileHandleOrFilename]
004E5F9F          push esi
004E5FA0          call sub_4E557A
004E5FA5          add esp, 4
004E5FA8          test eax, eax
004E5FAA          jnz short loc_4E5FC1
004E5FAC          mov eax, [esp+4+MaxCount]
004E5FB0          mov ecx, [esp+4+Buf]
004E5FB4          push esi          ; File
004E5FB5          push eax          ; MaxCount
004E5FB6          push ecx          ; Buf
004E5FB7          call _fgets
004E5FBC          add esp, 0Ch
004E5FBF          pop esi
004E5FC0          retn
004E5FC1 ; -----
004E5FC1
004E5FC1 loc_4E5FC1:          ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fgets_ServerSide+10fj
004E5FC1          mov edx, [esp+4+MaxCount]
004E5FC5          mov ecx, [esp+4+Buf]
004E5FC9          push edx
004E5FCA          push ecx
004E5FCB          mov ecx, eax
004E5FCD          call FUNC_fgets_Container
004E5FD2          pop esi
004E5FD3          retn
004E5FD3 FUNC_fgets_ServerSide endp
```

If you don't know what "fgets" does then google or learn the C language.

The same comments of FUNC_fclose_ServerSide apply to this function! What is _fgets doing there!?

Know that FUNC_fgets_ServerSide takes a struct_DAT_HeaderEntry as parameter.

Know that _fgets takes a FILE as parameter (C documentation).

Know that FUNC_fgets_Container takes a struct_ContainerHandle as parameter.

DOING THE MATH

Two plus two is one. Sorry, it's one plus one is two.

Now what's going on is: both `FUNC_fclose_ServerSide` and `FUNC_gets_ServerSide` call a function `sub_4E557A`. If this function returns `NULL` then the C functions (`fgets/fclose`) are called directly with the same parameter as `sub_4E557A` was called with. If the function didn't return `NULL` then the `FUNC_..._Container` functions are called. Because the `FUNC_..._Container` functions take a `struct_ContainerHandle` as parameter we can derive that `sub_4E557A` returns a `struct_ContainerHandle` which will be `NULL` if it can't find the `struct_DAT_HeaderEntry`.

Now I also looked at `sub_4E557A` and there stuff going which is related with calls made by `FUNC_Init_ContainerHandle` (see `FUNC_fopen_ServerSide`). So when `FUNC_fopen_ServerSide` returns a header entry, this header entry will have been linked internally with a `struct_ContainerHandle` by `FUNC_Init_ContainerHandle`. Remember that if the file name doesn't contain ".q" no `struct_ContainerHandle` will be initialized! But we also know that a file without ".q" will never be found in `UODEMO.DAT` so the demo will always fail.

Remember that we had that red square with weird code? Well, I think there was code that would call `_fopen` and return a `FILE` handle instead of a `struct_DAT_HeaderEntry`. I wanted to test this by adding a call to `_fopen` and I tested it.

You know what? IT WORKED!

THE PATCH – PART 1

Here's my "modified" rename function:

```
004E60DA FUNC_rename_ServerSide: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+D5↑p
004E60DA 55 push ebp
004E60DB 89 E5 mov ebp, esp
004E60DD FF 75 0C push dword ptr [ebp+0Ch]
004E60E0 FF 75 08 push dword ptr [ebp+8]
004E60E3 E8 15 C7 FF FF call FUNC_fopen_Container
004E60E8 43 inc ebx
004E60E9 09 C0 or eax, eax
004E60EB 75 38 jnz short LOCAL_Return
004E60ED FF 75 0C push dword ptr [ebp+0Ch]
004E60F0 FF 75 08 push dword ptr [ebp+8]
004E60F3 E8 A8 3A 00 00 call _fopen
004E60F8 83 C4 08 add esp, 8
004E60FB 31 DB xor ebx, ebx
004E60FD 09 C0 or eax, eax
004E60FF 74 24 jz short LOCAL_Return
004E6101 87 45 08 xchg eax, [ebp+8]
004E6104
004E6104 loc_4E6104: ; CODE XREF: .text:004E6110↓j
004E6104 68 48 77 62 00 push offset a_q
004E6109 50 push eax
004E610A E8 01 36 00 00 call _strstr
004E610F 83 C4 08 add esp, 8
004E6112 31 DB xor ebx, ebx
004E6114 09 C0 or eax, eax
004E6116 74 0D jz short LOCAL_Return
004E6118 83 C0 02 add eax, 2
004E611B 38 18 cmp [eax], bl
004E611D 75 E5 jnz short loc_4E6104
004E611F 88 58 FE mov [eax-2], bl
004E6122 8B 45 08 mov eax, [ebp+8]
004E6125
004E6125 LOCAL_Return: ; CODE XREF: .text:004E60EB↑j
004E6125 ; .text:004E60FF↑j ...
004E6125 5D pop ebp
004E6126 C2 08 00 retn 8
```

This code will:

- 1) call FUNC_fopen_Container
- 2) on success, return
- 3) on failure, call fopen
- 4) on failure, return
- 5) on success, remove “.q” from the filename (very important to make this work)
- 6) return

THE PATCH – PART 2

Even though we now have created a cool function that will call `_fopen`, we still need to make the demo call this new function.

This is done in `FUNC_fopen_ServerSide`.

Before:

```
004E5DBD loc_4E5DBD: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+AD↑j
004E5DBD lea   eax, [esp+42Ch+VAR_ModifiedAccessMode]
004E5DC1 lea   ecx, [esp+42Ch+VAR_FilenameWithQ]
004E5DC5 push  eax ; Mode
004E5DC6 push  ecx ; Filename
004E5DC7 mov   ecx, GLOBAL_Class_UODEMODAT
004E5DCD xor   ebx, ebx
004E5DCF call  FUNC_fopen_Container
004E5DD4 mov   esi, eax
004E5DD6 test  esi, esi
004E5DD8 jz    short LOCAL_ReturnZero
004E5DDA test  esi, esi
004E5DDC mov   ebx, 1
004E5DE1 jnz   short LOCAL_RegisterAsThroughContainer
004E5DE3 LOCAL_ReturnZero: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+DE↑j
004E5DE3 xor   eax, eax
004E5DE5 jmp   short LOCAL_Return
004E5DE7 ; -----
004E5DE7 LOCAL_RegisterAsThroughContainer: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+E7↑j
```

After:

```
:004E5DBD 00 40 24 10 loc_4E5DBD: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+AD↑j
:004E5DBD 00 40 24 10 lea   eax, [esp+42Ch+VAR_ModifiedAccessMode]
:004E5DC1 8D 4C 24 20 lea   ecx, [esp+42Ch+VAR_FilenameWithQ]
:004E5DC5 50          push  eax ; Mode
:004E5DC6 51          push  ecx ; Filename
:004E5DC7 8B 0D 40 16 70 00 mov   ecx, GLOBAL_Class_UODEMODAT
:004E5DCD 33 DB      xor   ebx, ebx
:004E5DCF E8 06 03 00 00 call  FUNC_rename_ServerSide
:004E5DD4 89 C6      mov   esi, eax
:004E5DD6 85 F6      test  esi, esi
:004E5DD8 74 09      jz    short LOCAL_ReturnZero
:004E5DDA EB 0B      jmp   short LOCAL_RegisterAsThroughContainer
:004E5DDC          ; -----
:004E5DDC 90          nop
:004E5DDD 90          nop
:004E5DDE 90          nop
:004E5DDF 90          nop
:004E5DE0 90          nop
:004E5DE1 90          nop
:004E5DE2 90          nop
:004E5DE3          LOCAL_ReturnZero: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+DE↑j
:004E5DE3 33 C0      xor   eax, eax
:004E5DE5 EB 6D      jmp   short LOCAL_Return
:004E5DE7          ; -----
:004E5DE7          LOCAL_RegisterAsThroughContainer: ; CODE XREF: FUNC_fopen_ServerSide+E0↑j
```

NOTE: the color is different because the second picture was taken while the debugger was active

ADDITIONAL NOTE: don't think I didn't see the demo crash, it took me several tries to make it right, and the first time I didn't remove the ".q" which gave weird results ☺. Also when you do this, make sure you operate on a correct ".rundir", a corrupt ".rundir" will kill the beast most likely.

HOW TO MAKE IT WORK

You can now go ahead and patch your uodemo.exe (or uodemo+.exe).

But this will never work unless you modify uodemo.dat (or uodemo+.dat). The fopen function will only be called if the file isn't found inside the DAT archive/container.

You can create an empty uodemo.dat, a 0-bytes long/short DAT file will not crash the demo.

An extra patch will be required to remove UODEMO.DAT completely, that's an exercise for you my dear.